# **Spinal Muscular Atrophy Drugs**

#### Goal(s):

• Approve nusinersen (SPINRAZA), onasemnogene abeparvovec (ZOLGENSMA), or risdiplam (EVRYSDI) conditions supported by evidence of benefit (e.g., spinal muscular atrophy).

### Length of Authorization:

- Nusinersen: Up to 8 months for initial approval and up to 12 months for renewal.
- Onasemnogene abeparvovec: Once in a lifetime dose.
- Risdiplam: Up to 6 months for initial approval and 12 months for renewal.

### **Requires PA:**

- Nusinersen (billed as a pharmacy or physician administered claim)
- Onasemnogene abeparvovec (billed as a pharmacy or physician administered claim)
- Risdiplam (billed as pharmacy claim)

## **Covered Alternatives:**

- Current PMPDP preferred drug list per OAR 410-121-0030 at <u>www.orpdl.org</u>
- Searchable site for Oregon FFS Drug Class listed at <u>www.orpdl.org/drugs/</u>

### Table 1. FDA-Approved Dosing For Risdiplam

Age and Body Weight	Recommended Daily Dose of Risdiplam
Less than 2 months of age	0.15 mg/kg
2 months to less than 2 years of age	0.2 mg/kg
2 years of age and older weighing less than 20 kg	0.25 mg/kg
2 years of age and older weighing 20 kg or more	5 mg

Approval Criteria		
1. What diagnosis is being treated?	Record ICD-10 code. Go to #2	
<ul> <li>2. Is this a request for continuation of nusinersen or risdiplam therapy?</li> <li>Note: Onasemnogene abeparvovec is only approved as a single, one-time dose per lifetime</li> </ul>	Yes: Go to Renewal Criteria	<b>No:</b> Go to #3
<ol> <li>Does the patient have a diagnosis of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), confirmed by SMN1 (chromosome 5q) gene mutation or deletion AND at least 2 copies of the SMN2 gene as documented by genetic testing?</li> </ol>	Yes: Go to #4	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness.

Approval Criteria		
4. Is the requested medication prescribed by a pediatric neurologist or a provider with experience treating SMA?	Yes: Go to #5	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness
<ul><li>5. Is the patient ventilator-dependent (using at least 16 hours per day on at least 21 of the last 30 days)?</li><li>Note: This assessment does not apply to</li></ul>	<b>Yes:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness	<b>No:</b> Go to #6
patients who require ventilator assistance		
<ul> <li>6. Is a baseline motor assessment appropriate for age and/or intended population available?</li> <li>Examples include, but are not limited to, the following validated assessment tools:</li> <li>Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination, Section 2 (HINE-2)</li> <li>Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale (HFMSE)</li> <li>Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders (CHOP-INTEND)</li> <li>The Motor Function Measure 32 items (MFM-32)</li> <li>Upper Limb Module (ULM)</li> <li>6-minute walk test (6MWT)</li> </ul>	Yes: Document date and assessment results Date: Assessment: Results: Go to #7	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness.
<ol> <li>Has the patient had previous administration of onasemnogene abeparvovec (ZOLGENSMA), either in a clinical study or as part of medical care?</li> </ol>	<b>Yes:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness	<b>No:</b> Go to #8
8. Is the request for risdiplam?	<b>Yes</b> : Go to #9	<b>No</b> : Go to #13
9. Is the prescribed dose within the limits defined in Table 1?	<b>Yes:</b> Go to #10	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness. Recommended FDA- approved dosage is determined by age and body weight.
10. In people of child-bearing potential, is there documentation that the provider and patient have discussed the teratogenic risks of the drug if the patient were to become pregnant?	Yes: Go to #11	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness

Approval Criteria		
11. Is the patient on concomitant therapy with nusinersen?	<b>Yes:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness.	No: Go to #12
12. For able patients, is there baseline documentation of pulmonary function measured by spirometry (FEV1, FVC, etc) or other validated pulmonary function test?	Yes: Document baseline results. Approve for 6 months. If approved, a referral will be made to case management by the Oregon Health Authority.	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness.
13. Is the request for nusinersen?	<b>Yes</b> : Go to #14	<b>No</b> : Go to #15
14. Is the patient on concomitant therapy with risdiplam?	<b>Yes:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness.	<b>No:</b> Approve for up to 8 months.
15. Is the request for onasemnogene abeparvovec?	Yes: Go to #16	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness
16. Is the patient less than 2 years of age?	Yes: Go to #17	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness
<ul> <li>17. Have the following labs been obtained:</li> <li>a) a baseline platelet count AND</li> <li>b) baseline liver function tests (AST, ALT, total bilirubin, and PT) AND</li> <li>c.) baseline troponin-I</li> </ul>	<b>Yes:</b> Go to #18	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness
18. Does the patient have a prescription on file for 30 days of on oral corticosteroid to begin one day before infusion of onasemnogene abeparvovec?	<b>Yes:</b> Approve for one time infusion	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness

Renewal Criteria		
<ol> <li>Is there evidence of adherence and tolerance to therapy through pharmacy claims/refill history and provider assessment?</li> </ol>	<b>Yes:</b> Go to #2	<b>No:</b> Pass to RPh; Deny medical appropriateness

Renewal Criteria		
<ul> <li>2. Has the patient shown a positive treatment response in one of the following areas?</li> <li>Documented improvement from the baseline motor function assessment score with more areas of motor function improved than worsened</li> <li>-OR-</li> <li>Documentation of clinically meaningful stabilization, delayed progression, or decreased decline in SMA-associated signs and symptoms compared to the predicted natural history trajectory of disease</li> <li>-OR-</li> <li>Documentation of an improvement or lack of decline in pulmonary function compared to baseline</li> </ul>	Yes: Approve for 12 months	No: Pass to RPh; Deny; medical appropriateness.

P&T Review: 2/23 (DM); 9/19 (DM); 7/17; 3/17 Implementation: 4/1/23; 11/1/19: 9/1/17; 5/17