

© Copyright 2024 Oregon State University. All Rights Reserved

Drug Use Research & Management ProgramOregon State University, 500 Summer Street NE, E35
Salem, Oregon 97301-1079

Phone 503-947-5220 | **Fax** 503-947-2596



New Drug Evaluation: Dextromethorphan/Quinidine (NUEDEXTA), capsules

Date of Review: June 2025 End Date of Literature Search: 02/14/2025

Generic Name: dextromethorphan Hbr/quinidine

Brand Name (Manufacturer): NUEDEXTA (Avanir Pharmaceuticals, Inc)

Dossier Received: no

Plain Language Summary:

This review looks at the evidence for the use of NUEDEXTA (dextromethorphan/quinidine) for management of pseudobulbar affect.

- People with pseudobulbar affect have uncontrollable emotional outbursts such as laughing or crying that do not match the person's mood or situation. This condition often happens after damage to the brain from a stroke, multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, dementia, or traumatic brain injury.
- Only one medicine, the combination of dextromethorphan 20 mg and quinidine 10 mg, is Food and Drug Administration approved to treat pseudobulbar affect. A study in people with multiple sclerosis and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis showed that those taking the medication had less laughing and crying episodes than those taking the placebo.
- Side effects reported with dextromethorphan/quinidine include diarrhea, vomiting, flu-like symptoms, dizziness, cough, and gas.
- Providers must explain to the Oregon Health Authority why someone needs the combination product dextromethorphan/quinidine. This process is called prior authorization.

Research Questions:

- 1. What is the evidence for efficacy of the combination product dextromethorphan/quinidine for the treatment of pseudobulbar affect (PBA)?
- 2. What is the evidence for the safety of dextromethorphan/quinidine in the treatment of PBA?
- 3. Are there subpopulations of adults (i.e., age, gender, ethnicity, disease duration or severity) for whom dextromethorphan/quinidine is more effective or associated with more harms?

Conclusions:

• A 12-week, double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) conducted at 60 centers in the United States and South America evaluated the efficacy and safety of dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg compared with placebo in people with PBA and multiple sclerosis (MS) or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). The primary efficacy outcome was a patient's change from baseline in the number of PBA episodes (laughing and/or crying) per day, as recorded in the patient's daily diary. The 12-week mean change in daily episode rate was –3.9 for dextromethorphan/quinidine versus –3.0 for placebo (difference = 0.9 points; 95% confidence interval not reported; p=0.0048; low-quality evidence). A minimal clinically effective difference has not been established for this outcome. Additional study details are presented in **Table 2**.

Author: Deanna Moretz, PharmD, BCPS

- The most frequently reported adverse events reported in this 12-week RCT included diarrhea, dizziness, cough, vomiting, asthenia, and peripheral edema (moderate-quality evidence). See **Table 1** for adverse event rates compared to placebo.² There were no proarrhythmic events reported and changes from baseline in QT interval were mild (no greater than 60 msec) in patients who received dextromethorphan/quinidine.¹ Of note, patients with any clinically significant abnormality on the electrocardiogram or with a family history of a congenital prolonged QT interval syndrome were excluded from the study.
- The study was only conducted in adults aged 18 to 80 years of age with PBA attributed to ALS or MS. There is insufficient evidence to assess the efficacy of dextromethorphan/quinidine in people with PBA due to other neurologic conditions.

Recommendations:

- Implement prior authorization (PA) criteria for dextromethorphan/quinidine to define medical necessity under Early Periodic Screening Diagnostic and Treatment (EPSDT) Benefit.
- Maintain designation of dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg as nonpreferred on the Prioritized Drug List (PDL).

Background:

Pseudobulbar affect is a neurologic condition characterized by involuntary outbursts of laughing or crying that is incongruous or disproportionate for the patient's emotional state.³ This condition is associated with underlying neurodegenerative diseases, including stroke, traumatic brain injury, Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, ALS, and MS.¹ Pseudobulbar affect is thought to occur as a result of injury or disease that disrupts pathways regulating emotional expression, or affect, including the corticobulbar tracts and basal ganglia.⁴ Prevalence studies have reported that PBA affects 11% of patients 1 year after a stroke,⁵ 11% of patients during the first year after traumatic brain injury,⁶ 18% of patients with Alzheimer disease,⁷ 10% of patients with MS,⁸ and 49% of patients with ALS.⁹ In addition to the effects of the underlying disorder, PBA can have a severe impact on well-being and social functioning and can be highly disabling, in part due to the stigma attached to loss of emotional control.¹ Differentiating PBA from other common mood disorders, such as depression, anxiety, and bipolar disorder, can be challenging for clinicians, contributing to its high rate of misdiagnosis and leading to ineffective and insufficient treatment.¹⁰ Researchers found that 41% of individuals with PBA symptoms who discussed their inability to control emotional responses during clinical visits were diagnosed and only 52% of those received treatment.¹¹ Pseudobulbar affect (ICD-10 code F48.2) is not currently funded on the 2025 Health Evidence Review Commission (HERC) Prioritized List.¹² In 2024, approximately 65 people enrolled in the Oregon Health Plan (OHP) Fee-for-Service (FFS) program had a diagnosis of PBA.

A 2022 systematic review evaluated the benefits and harms of pharmaceutical treatment in people with unpredictable crying or laughing after a stroke.¹³ Five RCTs involving 213 people met inclusion criteria.¹³ Low-quality evidence showed off-label use of antidepressants may reduce the frequency and severity of crying or laughing episodes when compared to placebo.¹³ Despite lack of substantial clinical evidence supporting their use for PBA, the serotonergic actions of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) seem to reduce the frequency of PBA episodes by increasing serotonin activity.¹⁰

In the randomized controlled trial (RCT) evaluating the efficacy of dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg, 3 patient-reported assessments were used as secondary endpoints. The Center for Neurologic Study-Lability Scale (CNS-LS) is a patient-reported measure of affective lability that was first used in people with ALS. The reliability of this tool was evaluated in a total of 99 patients with ALS¹⁴ and 90 patients with MS. The 7-item questionnaire is composed of two subscales measuring labile laughter (4 items) and labile tearfulness (3 items). Each item is rated on a scale from 1 (applies never) to 5 (applies most of the time). The total score is calculated as the sum of the item values that resulted in a score ranging from 7 (no symptoms) to 35 (maximum symptom severity and frequency). The American Academy of Neurology (AAN) considers this assessment as possibly effective and may be considered for screening in people with MS (weak recommendation; moderate-quality evidence). The Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) is a 21-item self-assessment of symptoms of depression. June 2025

total score of 14–19 is considered mild, 20–28 is moderate, and 29–63 is severe.¹ The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) is a caregiver questionnaire covering 12 neuropsychiatric symptom domains; it provides a brief, informant-based assessment of neuropsychiatric symptoms and caregiver distress.¹ This tool was validated in 60 patients with Alzheimer's Disease.¹ The NPI severity score is based on a 4-point scale (0=absent, 1=mild to 3 = severe).¹ Minimal clinically important differences have not been determined for any of the 3 assessments used in this trial.

A 2009 guideline issued by the AAN stated that dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg is probably effective for treatment of PBA in people with ALS, although side effects may limit its usefulness (moderate recommendation; high-quality evidence). No other pharmacologic agents were addressed in the guideline. Guidance issued by the AAN in 2014 provided recommendations for management of psychiatric disorders in people with MS. This guidance suggests that dextromethorphan/quinidine is possibly effective and safe and may be considered for treating individuals with MS and PBA (weak recommendation; moderate-quality evidence)¹⁶

Several studies have evaluated the efficacy of dextromethorphan 30 mg in combination with quinidine 10 mg (this combination is not commercially available) in patients with agitation due to Alzheimer's disease. A 10-week, phase 2 RCT showed a decrease in agitation as measured by a neuropsychiatric inventory-agitation and aggression scale, compared to placebo (127 subjects). Based on these results, two phase 3 trials (NCT02442765 and NCT02442778) were conducted using a modified formulation of dextromethorphan with contradictory findings. The full dataset from both studies is not published in any peer-reviewed journals. The FDA² has not approved an expanded indication for use of dextromethorphan/quinidine in agitation associated with Alzheimer's disease and this indication is not listed as compendial, off-label use for dextromethorphan/quinidine in Micromedex.

See **Appendix 1** for **Highlights of Prescribing Information** from the manufacturer, including Boxed Warnings and Risk Evaluation Mitigation Strategies (if applicable), indications, dosage and administration, formulations, contraindications, warnings and precautions, adverse reactions, drug interactions and use in specific populations.

Clinical Efficacy:

NUEDEXTA, a combination drug containing dextromethorphan and quinidine, received FDA-approval for the treatment of PBA in 2010.² Quinidine is FDA-approved as an antiarrhythmic administered at doses ranging from 200 to 400 mg every 6 hours.²¹ Dextromethorphan is available over the counter for use as a cough suppressant at a dose of 10 to 20 mg every 4 hours.²¹ Dextromethorphan is an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NDMA) receptor antagonist and high affinity sigma-1 receptor agonist, but the mechanism of action of dextromethorphan in treating PBA is not known.² The addition of quinidine, an antiarrhythmic agent at therapeutic doses, is provided at subtherapeutic dosing to inhibit the rapid hepatic CYP2D6 metabolism of dextromethorphan, thereby increasing the bioavailability of dextromethorphan.¹⁰ The starting dose of dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg is one capsule once daily for 7 days.² After 7 days, the dose is increased to one capsule every 12 hours.² The clinical trial which contributes to the efficacy data for this indication is described and evaluated below in **Table 2**. Specific drug information for dextromethorphan combined with quinidine is presented in **Appendix 2** including pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics.

A 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 3-arm, parallel-group study conducted at 60 centers in the United States and South America evaluated the efficacy and safety of dextromethorphan/quinidine in PBA.¹ For entry, men or women 18 to 80 years old were required to have clinically significant PBA, with a score ≥13 on the CNS-LS, and a diagnosis either of ALS or MS.¹ Patients with a history of major psychiatric disorder were excluded from the trial. The authors did not report on the use of concomitant drugs that may interact with dextromethorphan or quinidine. Patients were randomized (1:1:1) to

receive placebo, dextromethorphan 30 mg/quinidine 10 mg or dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg.¹ For the first treatment week, patients took a single capsule of study drug in the morning. During weeks 2 through 12, they took study drug once in the morning and once in the evening. Follow-up visits occurred at 2, 4, 8, and 12 weeks. In addition, for 1 week prior to baseline and throughout the trial, patients were required to maintain a diary recording the daily number of laughing and/or crying episodes experienced, the medications they took, and any adverse experiences.¹ The primary efficacy endpoint was the change from baseline in the number of PBA episodes (laughing and/or crying) per day, as recorded in the patient's daily diary.¹ The mean baseline was 5 PBA episodes per day. The 12-week mean change in daily episode rate was -4.1 for dextromethorphan 30 mg/quinidine 10 mg and -3.9 for dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg, versus -3.0 for placebo (95% confidence interval [CI] not reported; p=0.0099 and p=0.0048, respectively).¹

Secondary endpoints included change from baseline on CNS-LS, which was administered at baseline and at each follow-up visit, the BDI-II and the NPI, which were administered at baseline and at 12 weeks.¹ Among secondary endpoints, the 12-week mean reduction from baseline CNS-LS score was statistically significantly greater at both dextromethorphan/quinidine dosage levels than for placebo.¹ On BDI-II, mean improvement was statistically significantly greater for dextromethorphan 30 mg/quinidine 10 mg than for placebo, but not for the 20 mg/10 mg dose. On the caregiver NPI assessment, total scores showed no significant change for either dosage versus placebo (see **Table 2** for results).¹

Trial Limitations:

This study required a baseline CNS-LS of 13 or greater in people PBA due to underlying ALS or MS.¹ Because its subjects were carefully screened, the findings should be cautiously generalized to a broader spectrum of patients with PBA due to other neurologic conditions or those with a CNS-LS score less than 12.¹ There was a substantial placebo effect on reduction of PBA episodes per day. Although the results were statistically significant, the clinical relevance of a decrease of 1 PBA episode per day is not clear. Compared with the dextromethorphan 30 mg/quinidine 10 mg group, there were higher attrition rates in the dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10mg group and placebo group due to AEs due to withdrawal of consent. The intention to treat analysis was used for outcome assessment; it is not clear how missing data were handled.

Clinical Safety:

There were no proarrhythmic events reported and changes from baseline in QT interval were mild (no greater than 60 msec) in patients who received dextromethorphan/quinidine. Of note, patients with any clinically significant abnormality on the electrocardiogram or with a family history of a congenital prolonged QT interval syndrome were excluded from the study. Seven deaths were reported, all in ALS patients. All deaths were classified by an independent mortality adjudication committee as having a respiratory cause likely to be the result of progression of the underlying neurologic disease. Discontinuation rates attributable to adverse events were 9.3% (10 patients) with dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg, and 1.8% (2 patients) with placebo. Table 1 provides a summary of all the reported adverse events with dextromethorphan 20 mg/quinidine 10 mg in comparison with placebo.

Table 1. Adverse Drug Reactions that Occurred with Dextromethorphan/Quinidine-Treated Patients versus Placebo-Treated Patients²

Adverse Drug Reaction	Dextromethorphan 20 mg	Placebo	
	/Quinidine 10 mg (n=107)	(n=109)	
Diarrhea	13%	6%	
Dizziness	10%	5%	
Cough	5%	2%	

Vomiting	5%	1%
Asthenia	5%	2%
Peripheral Edema	5%	1%
Urinary Tract Infection	4%	1%
Influenza	4%	1%
Increased gamma-glutamyltransferease	3%	0%
Flatulence	3%	1%

Contraindications

Avoid concomitant use of dextromethorphan/quinidine with quinidine, quinine, or mefloquine.² Avoid use in patients with atrioventricular (AV) block, prolonged QT interval, congenital long QT syndrome, history suggestive of torsades de pointes, or heart failure.² Dextromethorphan/quinidine should not be used with monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs) or within 14 days of stopping an MAOI due to the risk of serotonin syndrome.² Drugs that both prolong the QT interval and are metabolized by CYP2D6 (e.g., thioridazine or pimozide) should not be administered concomitantly with dextromethorphan/quinidine.²

Drug Interactions

Use of SSRIs or TCAs with dextromethorphan/quinidine increases the risk of serotonin syndrome.² Dextromethorphan/quinidine inhibits CYP2D6 and may decrease the safety or efficacy of concomitant CYP2D6 metabolized drugs.²

Look-alike / Sound-alike Error Risk Potential: Neulasta

Comparative Endpoints:

Clinically Meaningful Endpoints:

- 1) Number of laughing and crying episodes
- 2) Serious adverse events
- 4) Study withdrawal due to an adverse event

Primary Study Endpoint:

1) Reduction in PBA episodes (laughing/crying episodes) from baseline

Table 2. Comparative Evidence Table.

Study Duration Demographics	Ref./	Drug Regimens/	Patient Population	N	Efficacy Endpoints	ARR/	Safety Outcomes	ARR/	Risk of Bias/
1. Piro at 1. Destromethorphan Dam eQuindinct of a may expected by effective first of a may expected by expe	Study	Duration				NNT		NNH	Applicability
al. 2010. 3 mg + Quinidine 10 mg one capsule PO DB, PC, MC AC	Design								
mg one capsule PO weeks 2-12. DB, PC, MC weeks 2-12. NB	1. Piro et	1. Dextromethorphan	Demographics:		Primary Endpoint: Change				Risk of Bias (low/high/unclear):
D8, PC, MC daily x 7 days, then BID Rec Excitation Nation Nati	al. 2010.¹	30 mg + Quinidine 10	-Mean age: 51 y				, ,		
1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.1 1.4.					of PBA episodes per day			NA	
Elispanic: 1996 P. 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.10 1.3 - 3.0 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10				3. 109	, , ,		3.90 (82.6%)		
2. Dextromethorphan 20 mg + Quinding 1 or 1 o	RCT	weeks 2-12.							
Under 20 mg + Quinidine 10 mg one capsule PO ALS: 61% 3, 34 9, 34 9, 34 9, 34 1, 32 (187, 9-0.0099 daily x 7 days, then BID weeks 2-12.			·				l ———		
mg one capsule PO daily x 7 days, then BID weeks 2-12.									, ,
daily x7 days, then BID weeks 2-12.					-	NA		NA	1 ' ' '
weeks 2-12. PBA episodes per day: "5				3. 94	I		3.10 (9.2%)		
day: "5 day: "5 day: "5 3. Matched placebo at same dosing as active comparator New Inclusion Criteria: -Aper Bato 80 y with PBA -CNS-LS score: 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in CPS-LS score (TIT analysis) 1. 9 (88) 2. 19 (18%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 3. 15 (14%) 4. Attrition Bias: High. Higher attrition rates in 20 mg/2/0mg group and placebo group due to AEs and due to withdrawal of consent. ITT analysis used for outcome assessment; Int of dearh ow missing data were handled. 2. 8.2 2. 8.2 2. 9.3 3. 5.7 1. vs. 3: difference = -2.5; 95% CI NR; p=0.0002 2. vs. 3: difference = -2.5; 95% CI NR; p=0.00113 See Exclusion Criteria: -Ahonormality on ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major Psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis NS Applicability: Patient: Enrolled patients had PBA and either MS or ALS, which limits PBA due to other causes (stroke, traumatic brain injury). Intervention: 20/10 goding approved by FDA and available in U.S. Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 3. 5 (18%) A 1. 6 (5.5%) A 2. (1.8%) A 3. 5 (1.8%) A 3. 2 (1.8%) A 4ttrition rates in 20 mg/2/0mg group and placebo group due to AEs and due to withdrawal of consent. ITT analysis to ever analysis on the protocol. Statistical analysis does not include confidence interval reporting. Other Bias: High. Higher attrition rates in 20 mg/2/0mg group and placebo group due to AEs and due to withdrawal of consent. ITT analysis and the protocol. Statistical analysi							D: .: .:		
3. Matched placebo at same dosing as active comparator CNS-LS score: 20 Duration: 12 weeks Duration: 12 weeks Duration: 12 weeks CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Oiagnosis of ALS or MS ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in A-point NP score (ITT analysis) 1. 1. 6 2. 2. 6. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 8. 2 3. 5. 7 1. 95% CI and p-values NR NA Applicability: Patient: Enrolled patients had PBA and either MS or ALS, which limits PBA due to other causes (stroke, traumatic baria injury). Intervention: 20/10 mg dosing approved by FDA and available in US. Comparator' Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South		weeks 2-12.			95% CLNR; p=0.0048				
same dosing as active comparator Comparator Comparator Comparator		2 Matabad alasaha at	,		Coopedow. Finding into			NI A	,
Comparator Key Inclusion Cirteria: 18.2 28.2 35.7		•						NA	
Duration: 12 weeks Criteria:		_	CNS-LS SCORE: 20	3. 15 (14%)			3. 2 (1.8%)		
Duration: 12 weeks Criteria: -Age 18 to 80 y with PBA -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 23 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 23 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 23 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 23 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 23 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -CNS-LS score ≥ 23 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Applicability: -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -Diagnosis of MS		Comparator	Key Inclusion				95% Cl and n-values NR		l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-Age 18 to 80 y with PBA 35.7 -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS MS Every Exclusion Criteria: -Abnormality on ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Usy 3. 3: difference = -1.58; psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of MR; p=0.0368 -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis Applicability: -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in Alpoint		Duration: 12 weeks			1 .		3370 Ci and p-values NK		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PBA -CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of MS -Diagnosis of MS -Diagnosis of MS -Change from baseline in -Abnormality on ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -Diagnosis of MS -Diagnosis of MS -Change from baseline in -Abnormality on -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in -Change from baseline		Daration: 12 Weeks				NΑ			
-CNS-LS score ≥ 13 -Diagnosis of ALS or MS -Signosis of MS -Cl NR; p=0.0013 -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in A-point			_			1.07			
-Diagnosis of ALS or MS -EVEX. -EVEX. -EVEX. -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) -ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of MS -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) -C			-CNS-LS score ≥ 13		1 vs. 3: difference = -2.5:				
MS 2 vs. 3: difference = -2.5; 95% CI NR; p=0.0113 Key Exclusion Criteria: -Abnormality on ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in -Apolicability: -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS Other Bias: High. Manufacturer funded study. Several authors reported conflicts of interest due to financial support from the manufacturer. Applicability: Patient: Enrolled patients had PBA and either MS or ALS, which limits PBA due to other causes (stroke, traumatic brain injury). Intervention: 20/10 ng dosing approved by FDA and available in US. Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate malysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS Other Bias: High. Manufacturer funded study. Several authors reported conflicts of interest due to financial support from the manufacturer. Applicability: Patient: Enrolled patients had PBA and either MS or ALS, which limits PBA due to other causes (stroke, traumatic brain injury). Intervention: 20/10 ng dosing approved by FDA and available in US. Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South					,				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Criteria:			J		2 vs. 3: difference = -2.5;				Other Bias: High. Manufacturer funded study.
Criteria: -Abnormality on ECG 11.6 -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS -Change from baseline in BDI-II score (ITT analysis) 11.6 -Applicability: Applicability: Applicabili					95% CI NR; p=0.0113				Several authors reported conflicts of interest due
Abnormality on ECG			Key Exclusion						to financial support from the manufacturer.
ECG -FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS -Fatient: Enrolled patients had PBA and either MS or ALS, which limits PBA due to other causes (stroke, traumatic brain injury). Intervention: 20/10 mg dosing approved by FDA and available in US. Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South			<u>Criteria</u> :						
-FH of congenital QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 3. 0.02 1 vs. 3: difference = -1.58; psychiatric 95% CI NR; p=0.0368 NS			-Abnormality on		BDI-II score (ITT analysis)				·· ·
QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis QT syndrome -History of major psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis A-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS (stroke, traumatic brain injury). Intervention: 20/10 mg dosing approved by FDA and available in US. Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South									Patient: Enrolled patients had PBA and either MS
-History of major psychiatric disturbance 1 vs. 3: difference = -1.58; 95% CI NR; p=0.0368 NA NS Intervention: 20/10 mg dosing approved by FDA and available in US. Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South			_						l '
psychiatric disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis PSW CI NR; p=0.0368 2 vs. 3: difference = -0.98; NS NS PS Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South									` · · · ·
disturbance -Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis 2 vs. 3: difference = -0.98; NS NS Solution Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS Comparator: Since no medications are approved for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
-Diagnosis of myasthenia gravis NS -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS for treatment of PBA, placebo is an appropriate comparator to establish efficacy. Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South									
myasthenia gravis -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) NS -Change from baseline in Outcomes: Change in frequency of PBA episodes is an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South						NS			
-Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS -Change from baseline in 4-point NPI score (ITT an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South			_		NS				
4-point NPI score (ITT an appropriate metric. Quality of life would be a better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints 11.6 do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in 22.6 small populations of patients with MS or ALS. 31.3 NS Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South			myastnenia gravis		Change from baseline in				
analysis) 11.6 22.6 31.3 NS better outcome assessment. Secondary endpoints do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South					_				
11.6 do not have defined MCIDs and were validated in small populations of patients with MS or ALS. 31.3 NS Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South									'' '
22.6 small populations of patients with MS or ALS. 31.3 NS Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South									
31.3 NS Setting: 60 sites in the United States and South									
						NS			
					1 vs. 3: difference: 0.3; NS	NS			America

				2 vs. 3: difference: 1.3; NS				
Abbreviation	<u>ns</u> : AE = adverse events; AL	S = amyotrophic lateral	sclerosis; ARR	= absolute risk reduction; BDI-	-II = Beck	Depression Inventory; CI = c	confiden	ce interval; CNS-LS = Center for Neurologic Study-
Lability Scale	e; DB = double blind; ECG	= electrocardiogram; FH	= family histo	ry; ITT = intention to treat; MO	C = multi	-center; mITT = modified inte	ention to	treat; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; MS

= multiple sclerosis; N = number of subjects; NA = not applicable; NNH = number needed to harm; NNT = number needed to treat; NPI = Neuropsychiatric Inventory; NR = not reported; NS = not statistically significant; OL = open label; PBA = pseudobulbar affect; PC = placebo controlled; PO = oral; PP = per protocol; TBI = traumatic brain injury; y = years.

References:

- 1. Pioro EP, Brooks BR, Cummings J, et al. Dextromethorphan plus ultra low-dose quinidine reduces pseudobulbar affect. *Ann Neurol*. Nov 2010;68(5):693-702. doi:10.1002/ana.22093
- 2. NUEDEXTA (dextromethorphan/quinidine) Oral Capsules Prescribing Information. Aliso Viejo, CA; Avanir Pharmaceuticals, Inc. June 2019.
- 3. Dark FL, McGrath JJ, Ron MA. Pathological laughing and crying. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. Aug 1996;30(4):472-9. doi:10.3109/00048679609065020
- 4. Parvizi J, Coburn KL, Shillcutt SD, Coffey CE, Lauterbach EC, Mendez MF. Neuroanatomy of pathological laughing and crying: a report of the American Neuropsychiatric Association Committee on Research. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. Winter 2009;21(1):75-87. doi:10.1176/jnp.2009.21.1.75
- 5. House A, Dennis M, Molyneux A, Warlow C, Hawton K. Emotionalism after stroke. *Bmj*. Apr 15 1989;298(6679):991-4. doi:10.1136/bmj.298.6679.991
- 6. Tateno A, Jorge RE, Robinson RG. Pathological laughing and crying following traumatic brain injury. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. Fall 2004;16(4):426-34. doi:10.1176/jnp.16.4.426
- 7. Starkstein SE, Migliorelli R, Tesón A, et al. Prevalence and clinical correlates of pathological affective display in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Jul 1995;59(1):55-60. doi:10.1136/jnnp.59.1.55
- 8. Feinstein A, Feinstein K, Gray T, O'Connor P. Prevalence and neurobehavioral correlates of pathological laughing and crying in multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol.* Sep 1997;54(9):1116-21. doi:10.1001/archneur.1997.00550210050012
- 9. Gallagher JP. Pathologic laughter and crying in ALS: a search for their origin. *Acta Neurol Scand*. Aug 1989;80(2):114-7. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0404.1989.tb03851.x
- 10. Goldin DS. Pseudobulbar Affect: An Overview. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv. Sep 1 2020;58(9):19-24. doi:10.3928/02793695-20200624-08
- 11. Ahmed A, Simmons Z. Pseudobulbar affect: prevalence and management. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*. 2013:483-489.
- 12. Prioritized List of Health Services, Health Evidence Review Commission, Oregon Health Plan; January 2025. https://www.oregon.gov/oha/hpa/dsi-herc/pages/prioritized-list.aspx Accessed 2/17/25.
- 13. Allida S, House A, Hackett ML. Pharmaceutical interventions for emotionalism after stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Nov 17 2022;11(11):Cd003690. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD003690.pub5
- 14. Moore SR, Gresham LS, Bromberg MB, Kasarkis EJ, Smith RA. A self report measure of affective lability. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Jul 1997;63(1):89-93. doi:10.1136/jnnp.63.1.89
- 15. Smith RA, Berg JE, Pope LE, Callahan JD, Wynn D, Thisted RA. Validation of the CNS emotional lability scale for pseudobulbar affect (pathological laughing and crying) in multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler*. Dec 2004;10(6):679-85. doi:10.1191/1352458504ms1106oa
- 16. Minden SL, Feinstein A, Kalb RC, et al. Evidence-based guideline: assessment and management of psychiatric disorders in individuals with MS: report of the Guideline Development Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. Jan 14 2014;82(2):174-81. doi:10.1212/wnl.000000000000013
- 17. Kaufer DI, Cummings JL, Ketchel P, et al. Validation of the NPI-Q, a brief clinical form of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. Spring 2000;12(2):233-9. doi:10.1176/jnp.12.2.233
- 18. Miller RG, Jackson CE, Kasarskis EJ, et al. Practice parameter update: the care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: multidisciplinary care, symptom management, and cognitive/behavioral impairment (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. Oct 13 2009;73(15):1227-33. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bc01a4
- 19. Khoury R. Deuterated dextromethorphan/quinidine for agitation in Alzheimer's disease. *Neural Regen Res.* May 2022;17(5):1013-1014. doi:10.4103/1673-5374.324842

- 20. Cummings JL, Lyketsos CG, Peskind ER, et al. Effect of Dextromethorphan-Quinidine on Agitation in Patients With Alzheimer Disease Dementia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Jama*. Sep 22-29 2015;314(12):1242-54. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.10214
- 21. Micromedex (electronic version). IBM Watson Health, Greenwood Village, Colorado, USA. Available at http://www.micromedexsolutions.com. Accessed 4/21/25.

22. Lexicomp Online. Wolters Kluwer Health, Hudson, Ohio, USA. Available at http://online.lexi.com. Accessed 1/09/2025.

Author: Moretz

Appendix 1: Prescribing Information Highlights

HIGHLIGHTS OF PRESCRIBING INFORMATION

These highlights do not include all the information needed to use NUEDEXTA safely and effectively. See full prescribing information for NUEDEXTA.

NUEDEXTA (dextromethorphan hydrobromide and quinidine sulfate) capsules, for oral use Initial U.S. Approval: 2010

-----INDICATIONS AND USAGE-----

NUEDEXTA is a combination product containing dextromethorphan hydrobromide (an uncompetitive NMDA receptor antagonist and sigma-1 agonist) and quinidine sulfate (a CYP450 2D6 inhibitor) indicated for the treatment of pseudobulbar affect (PBA). (1)

-----DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION------

- Starting dose: one capsule daily by mouth for 7 days. (2.1)
- Maintenance dose: After 7 days, 1 capsule every 12 hours. (2.1)

-----DOSAGE FORMS AND STRENGTHS-----

Capsules: Dextromethorphan hydrobromide 20 mg/quinidine sulfate 10 mg.

-----CONTRAINDICATIONS-----

- Concomitant use with quinidine, quinine, or mefloquine. (4.1)
- Patients with a history of quinidine, quinine or mefloquine-induced thrombocytopenia, hepatitis, or other hypersensitivity reactions. (4.2)
- Patients with known hypersensitivity to dextromethorphan. (4.2)
- Use with an MAOI or within 14 days of stopping an MAOI. Allow 14 days after stopping NUEDEXTA before starting an MAOI. (4.3)
- Prolonged QT interval, congenital long QT syndrome, history suggestive of torsades de pointes, or heart failure. (4.4)
- Complete atrioventricular (AV) block without implanted pacemaker, or patients at high risk of complete AV block. (4.4)
- Concomitant use with drugs that both prolong QT interval and are metabolized by CYP2D6 (e.g., thioridazine or pimozide). (4.4)

------WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS------

- Thrombocytopenia or other hypersensitivity reactions: Discontinue if occurs. (5.1)
- Hepatitis: Discontinue if occurs. (5.2)

- QT Prolongation: Monitor ECG if concomitant use of drugs that prolong QT interval cannot be avoided or if concomitant CYP3A4 inhibitors used. (5.3)
- Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) or left ventricular dysfunction (LVD):
 Monitor ECG in patients with LVH or LVD. (5.3)
- CYP2D6 substrate: Nuedexta inhibits CYP2D6. Accumulation of parent drug and/or failure of metabolite formation may decrease safety and/or efficacy of concomitant CYP2D6 metabolized drugs. Adjust dose of CYP2D6 substrate or use alternative treatment when clinically indicated. (5.4, 12.4)
- Dizziness: Take precautions to reduce falls. (5.5)
- Serotonin syndrome: Use of NUEDEXTA with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRIs) or tricyclic antidepressants increases the risk. Discontinue if occurs. (5.6, 7.4)
- Anticholinergic effects of quinidine: Monitor for worsening in myasthenia gravis and other sensitive conditions. (5.7)

-----ADVERSE REACTIONS-----

The most common adverse reactions (incidence of \geq 3% and two-fold greater than placebo) in patients taking NUEDEXTA are diarrhea, dizziness, cough, vomiting, asthenia, peripheral edema, urinary tract infection, influenza, increased gamma-glutamyltransferase, and flatulence. (6.1)

To report SUSPECTED ADVERSE REACTIONS, contact Avanir Pharmaceuticals, Inc. at 1-855-4NUEDEX (468-3339) or FDA at 1-800-FDA-1088 or www.fda.gov/medwatch.

-----DRUG INTERACTIONS-----

- Desipramine: Exposure increases 8-fold. Reduce desipramine dose and adjust based on clinical response. (7.5, 12.4)
- Paroxetine: Exposure increases 2-fold. Reduce paroxetine dose and adjust based on clinical response. (7.5, 12.4)
- Digoxin: Increased digoxin substrate plasma concentration may occur.
 (7.6)

-----USE IN SPECIFIC POPULATIONS-----

Pregnancy: Based on animal data, may cause fetal harm. (8.1)

See 17 for PATIENT COUNSELING INFORMATION

Revised: 6/2019

Appendix 2: Specific Drug Information

Table 3. Pharmacology and Pharmacokinetic Properties of Combination Dextromethorphan/Quinidine. 21,22

Parameter	
Mechanism of Action	 Dextromethorphan: NMDA receptor antagonist and Sigma-1 receptor agonist: mechanism of action in PBA is unknown Quinidine: Competitively inhibits CYP2D6 metabolism of dextromethorphan, which increases and prolongs plasma levels of dextromethorphan
Oral Bioavailability	Bioavailability of dextromethorphan is increased ~20 fold when administered with quinidine
Distribution and Protein Binding	 Dextromethorphan: 60% to 70% protein bound Quinidine: 80% to 89% protein bound Volume of distribution not reported
Elimination	Quinidine: 5 to 20% renally excreted - pH affects extent of clearance, more acidic urine increases extent of excretion
Half-Life	 Dextromethorphan: 13 hours in extensive metabolizers Quinidine: 7 hours in extensive metabolizers
Metabolism	 Dextromethorphan: Extensive hepatic metabolism via CYP2D6 Quinidine: Extensive hepatic metabolism via CYP3A4

Abbreviations: NMDA = N-methyl-D-aspartate; PBA = pseudobulbar affect

Author: Moretz

Dextromethorphan/Quinidine (NUEDEXTA)

Goal(s):

- To ensure appropriate drug use and restrict to indications supported by medical literature.
- Allow case-by-case review for members covered under the EPSDT program.

Length of Authorization:

• Up to 12 months

Requires PA:

• NUEDEXTA (Combination of dextromethorphan 20 mg and quinidine 10 mg capsule)

Covered Alternatives:

- Current PMPDP preferred drug list per OAR 410-121-0030 at www.orpdl.org
- Searchable site for Oregon FFS Drug Class listed at www.orpdl.org/drugs/

Approval Criteria								
1. What diagnosis is being treated?	Record ICD10 code.	Record ICD10 code.						
2. Is this for a patient with pseudobulbar affect (involuntary outbursts of laughing or crying that are inappropriate to t patient's emotional state) associated with a chronic neurological condition (e.g., amyotrophic lateral sclerosis multiple sclerosis, stroke, dementia, Parkinson's disease traumatic brain injury)?	the s,	Yes: Go to #3 No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness						
3. Is the patient eligible for EPSDT review?	Yes: Go to #4	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; not funded by the OHP						

A	Approval Criteria							
4.	Is there documentation that the condition is of sufficient severity that it impacts the patient's health (e.g., quality of life, function, growth, development, ability to participate in school, perform activities of daily living, etc.)?	Yes: Go to #5	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical necessity.					
5.	Is the medication prescribed by or in consultation with a neurologist?	Yes: Go to #6	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness					
6.	Is there documentation of the number of baseline laughing or crying episodes?	Yes: Approve for 6 months. Document results here: Number of crying or laughing episodes per day Date:	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness					

Renewal Criteria							
Is there documentation of improvement in frequency of laughing or crying episodes from baseline as assessed by the prescribing provider?	Yes: Approve for 60 months.	No: Pass to RPh. Deny; medical appropriateness					

P&T/DUR Review: 6/25 (DM) Implementation: 8/1/25