

Oregon State University, 500 Summer Street NE, E35, Salem, Oregon 97301-1079 **Phone** 503-945-5220 | **Fax** 503-947-1119



Abbreviated Class Update: Parkinson's Drugs

Month/Year of Review: November 2013

New Drug: rotigotine transdermal system (Neupro®)

End of literature search: September 2013 Manufacturer: UCB Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Current Preferred Agents	Current Non-Preferred Agents							
Anticholinergics								
Benztropine tablets								
Trihexyphenidyl tablets/elixir								
COMT	* Inhibitors							
Entacapone tablets	Tolcapone (Tamsar®) tablets							
Dopamir	nergic Agents							
Carbidopa/Levodopa tablets	Carbidopa/Levodopa ER tablets							
Dopami	ine Agonists							
Amantadine capsules/syrup/tablets	Bromocriptine (Parlodel®) tablets/capsules							
Pramipexole DI-HCL tablets	Ropinirole (Requip®) IR and XL tablets							
MAO- B	** Inhibitors							
Selegiline capsules	Rasagaline (Azilect®) tablets							
Combina	ntion Product							
	Carbidopa/Levodopa/Entacapone							

^{*}COMT = Catechol-O-methyl transferase; **MAO-B = Monoamine oxidase B

PA Criteria: All non-preferred agents require prior authorization to cover preferred products when feasible for covered diagnosis (Appendix 1). OHP does not cover treatment for restless leg syndrome.

Research Questions:

- Is there any evidence about comparative effectiveness of rotigotine transdermal versus other agents for the treatment of Parkinson's Disease (PD) in reducing disability, motor complications, and associated symptoms?
- Is there any evidence about comparative harms of rotigotine transdermal versus other agents in the treatment of PD?
- Are there subpopulations of patients (specifically by race, age, sex, or comorbidities) for which rotigotine is more effective or associated with less harm?

Conclusions:

- There is moderate quality evidence that, compared to placebo, more patients on rotigotine achieve a 20% or greater decrease in UPDRS ADL + Motor scores at 24 weeks (48% vs. 19%; ARR 29%, NNT 4) in the treatment of early Parkinson's Disease (PD).
- There is low quality evidence that rotigotine did not meet non-inferiority in responder rate(at least a 20% decrease in UPDRS ADL + Motor scores) compared to ropinirole in patients with early PD (52% vs. 68%).
- There is moderate quality evidence, that compared to placebo, patients on rotigotine achieved a greater change in total hours "off" from baseline (-2.7 vs. -0.9, p<0.0001) in the treatment of advanced PD over 24 weeks with adjunct levodopa.
- There is low quality evidence that there is no difference between rotigotine and pramipexole in responder rate (at least a 30% decrease in "off" time) in patients with advanced PD over 16 weeks (59.7% vs. 67%; RR 0.9, 95% CI 0.7-1.0); p=0.125).
- Rotigotine is generally well tolerated for up to 6 years with similar side effects as other dopamine agonists, including somnolence, dizziness, nausea, and insomnia. In addition, more patients experienced application site reactions with transdermal rotigotine compared to placebo.
- There is insufficient evidence that rotigotine is more efficacious or safer than other oral dopamine agonists in the treatment of PD. It may be a reasonable option for patients with difficulty swallowing that may be addressed by use of the patch.

Recommendations:

• Rotigotine transdermal patch should be evaluated in executive session for relative cost.

Reason for Review: Oregon reviewed the literature in this class in September 2013 and recommended adding rotigotine transdermal to complete the class

Background:

Rotigotine patch was originally approved for the treatment of PD in 2007 and was the first non-ergot dopamine agonist delivered continuously through a transdermal system. The formation of rotigotine crystals in the transdermal patch resulted in the product being withdrawn from the market in 2008 due to concerns of the impact on the bioavailability and effects on efficacy. It was re-approved by the FDA in April 2012 for the treatment of PD and restless legs syndrome after the manufacturer reformulated the patch. Non-oral routs of delivery can be useful in PD patients scheduled for surgery or those with dysphagia. This review will evaluate its efficacy and safety only in the treatment of PD, as restless leg syndrome is not a covered diagnosis under the Oregon Health Plan.

The Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) is the most widely used clinical rating scale for PD.³ It evaluates the key areas of disability and evaluates response to therapy. Many practitioners find it too complicated to use in clinical practice. A total of 199 points are possible with 0 representing no disability and 199 representing total disability. A recent analysis showed that a minimal clinically important difference was 2.3 to 2.7 points on the UPDRS motor score and 4.1 to 4.5 on the UPDRS total score. A moderate clinically important difference was 4.5 to 6.7 points on the UPDRS motor score and 8.5 to 10.3 on the total score. A large difference was 10.7 to 10.8 points on the UPDRS motor score and 16.4 to 17.8 on the UPDRS total score.⁴

Methods:

A MEDLINE OVID search was conducted using rotigotine for Parkinson's disease (PD) and limited to randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and meta-analysis, English language, and conducted in humans since the date of the literature search conducted for the previous OHA P & T review. The Agency for Healthcare

Research and Quality (AHRQ), Cochrane Collection, and the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) resources were searched for high quality systematic reviews. The FDA website was searched for new drugs, indications, and safety alerts, and the AHRQ National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) was searched for updated and recent evidence-based guidelines. The primary focus of the evidence is on high quality systematic reviews and evidence based guidelines for this class update. Randomized controlled trials will be emphasized if evidence is lacking or insufficient from those preferred sources. From the literature search, two systematic reviews were identified as well as 9 randomized controlled trials. Three were excluded due to wrong outcome and/or wrong study design.^{5–7}

New Systematic Reviews:

- 1) A systematic review was conducted to evaluate rotigotine's efficacy in PD, including randomized controlled trials up to July 2012. Trials that used the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) score were included. Two authors evaluated trials for quality using the Jadad scale. Six RCTs including 1789 patients were included in the meta-analysis and had Jadad scores that ranged from 4 to 5 (5 being rated as the strongest score). Four trials demonstrated a greater response in UPDRS ADL score with rotigotine compared to placebo (weighted mean difference [WMD] -1.69; 95% CI -2.18 to -1.19; p<0.0001), as well as a greater reduction in motor score (WMD -3.86; 95% CI -4.86 to -2.86; p<0.0001). There was no difference between rotigotine and placebo in overall number of withdrawals (RR 0.88; 95% CI 0.64-1.21; p=0.44) with evidence of heterogeneity (p=0.037, I²=57.7%). However, rotigotine was associated with a significantly higher rate of withdrawals due to adverse events compared to placebo (11.4% vs. 6.4%, respectively; RR 1.82, 95% CI 1.29-2.59; p=0.0008). Both application site reactions (RR 2.92; 95% CI 2.29-3.72; p<0.0001) and dizziness (RR 1.47, 95% CI 1.12-1.95; p=0.006) occurred with rotigotine significantly more than placebo. The overall magnitude reduction in UPDRS ADL score (-1.69) was slightly greater than that in early PD patients (-1.64) but smaller than that in advanced PD patients (-2.2) when compared to results of previous meta-analyses of dopamine agonists. This review met the DARE scientific quality criteria for a systematic review.
- Another systematic review evaluated the tolerability and safety of ropinirole versus other dopamine agonists, including rotigotine, in the treatment of PD. A literature search through November 2008 was conducted to identify double-blind randomized clinical trials. Guidelines on systematic reviews from the Cochrane Collaboration were followed and quality of the evidence was assessed using the Jadad criteria. A total of 40 RCTs were identified, including 1 trial comparing ropinirole to rotigotine and 5 trials comparing rotigotine to placebo. In all of the included studies, dopamine agonists exhibited a higher incidence of adverse events than placebo. Rotigotine showed statistically significantly more nausea (RR 2.08, 95% CI 1.30-3.34), dizziness (RR 1.35, 95% CI 1.02-1.9), dyskinesia ((RR 2.29, 95% CI 1.10-4.78), insomnia (RR 1.90, 95% CI 1.22-2.95), vomiting (RR 5.31, 95% CI 2.30-12.27), and hallucinations (RR 4.02, 95% CI 1.23-13.11) compared to placebo. There was not a statistically significant difference in somnolence, headache, confusion, constipation or abdominal pain between rotigotine and placebo. When a direct comparison was made between rotigotine and ropinirole, no significant differences for either dyskinesia or constipation were found.

Guidelines:

National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) released treatment guideline on early (uncomplicated) Parkinson's disease. This guideline is an updated version of the therapeutic management of Parkinson's disease by the joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Movement Disorder Society-European Section. Agents available in the US that carried level A recommendation for controlling PD's symptoms including Levodopa IR and CR,

pramipexole, ropinirole IR and CR, selegiline and rasagliline. Levodopa also has **level A** recommendation as the most effective symptomatic antiparkinsonian drug; however after a few years of treatment, levodopa is frequently associated with the development of motor complications. Rotigotine is not included in these guidelines.

Clinical Trials:

Early-Stage Parkinson's Disease

A RCT by Giladi et al evaluated the efficacy and safety of the rotigotine patch in the treatment of early PD in 561 patients randomized to rotigotine, ropinirole, or placebo. ¹⁰ Patients had mild to moderate disease with a baseline UPDRS ADL score of 9.0 and motor score of 23.2. The primary efficacy variable was the proportion of patients who responded to treatment which was defined as a 20% or greater decrease in the UPDRS parts II (ADL) + parts III (motor) scores from baseline. Compared to placebo, both rotigotine and ropinirole resulted in a significantly higher proportion of responders compared to placebo. The mean decrease from baseline in UPDRS subtotal score was -7.2 for patients receiving rotigotine compared with -2.2 for patients receiving placebo (P<0.0001) and -11.0 for ropinirole (p<0.0001). The changes in motor score and subtotal score are considered clinically significant as well as statistically significant. Results did not show noninferiority of rotigotine and ropinirole (RR 0.8, 95% CI 0.65-0.90); however the study was not powered to show superiority of any active treatment over the other and only 26% of ropinirole patients received the maximum allowed dose of 24mg/day. There were a significant more number of discontinuations due to adverse events in the rotigotine group compared to placebo (17% vs. 5.1%; RR 3.4, 95% CI 1.4-8.8). The majority of those in the rotigotine group were due to application-site reactions (8%). Common adverse events included application-site reactions, nausea, vomiting, somnolence, dizziness, and headache. There was an imbalanced titration (4 weeks vs. 13 weeks) and maintenance (24 weeks vs. 33 weeks) period between the treatment groups, making them hard to compare. This is a short term study; because progression of PD is estimated to be 3 UPDRS points per year, a longer study period is needed to examine long-term effects.

Watts, et al compared rotigotine patch (max dose 6 mg/24h) to placebo for 6 months in patients with early-stage PD.¹¹ Results demonstrated statistically significant improvements in motor function and activities of daily living (UPDRS II + III score) with rotigotine compared to placebo (-3.98 vs. +1.31; p<0.000.1; mean difference of 5.28 points). The mean rotigotine dose was 5.7 mg/24 h. Superior scoring in the motor examination was the largest contributor to the subtotal improvements. The proportion of responders (at least 20% improvement in UPDRS scores) was higher in the rotigotine group (48% vs. 19%; p<0.0001). Because patients included in the trial were so early in respect to PD disease, it may be difficult to see drastic motor fluctuations as measured by the primary endpoint.

A total of 216 subjects from the Watts trial were enrolled in an open-label long term study with a mean follow-up of 5.3 years. At 2 years, the UPDRS ADL plus motor scores were not different from the double-blind baseline.¹² For the following 4 years, scores worsened but remained within 4 points of the baseline scores. Adjunctive levodopa was started in 74% of patients, making it difficult to determine the long-term efficacy of rotigotine monotherapy.

Advanced Parkinson's Disease:

The PREFER study evaluated the efficacy of rotigotine compared to placebo in patients with suboptimal control of symptoms and significant motor complications. Patients were randomized to rotigotine 8mg/24h, 12mg/24h, or placebo for 24 weeks. Rotigotine 8mg/24h and 12mg/24 hr resulted in a statistically significant reduction in absolute "off" time (-2.7 hrs vs. -2.1 hrs, -0.9hrs, respectively) and an increase in daily "on" time without troublesome dykinesias (3.5 hrs vs. 2.2 hrs vs. 1.1 hrs, respectively) compared to placebo. The primary outcome of changes in daily off time was measured by patient diaries, which can be subjective. UPDRS ADL and motor scores were also significantly increased with both rotigotine doses compared to placebo.

The CLEOPATRA-PD trial¹⁴ was a 24-week randomized trial in PD patients with at least 2.5 hours of daily off-time (advanced PD). Subjects were randomized to rotigotine (max dose of 16mg/24hr), pramipexole (max dose 4.5mg/day), and placebo. The mean daily dose of rotigotine was 12.95 mg/24 h. Daily off-time was reduced by 2.5 hours with rotigotine, 2.8 hours with pramipexole, and 0.9 hours with placebo. Both rotigotine and pramipexole demonstrated statistical significance compared to placebo; however, there was no difference between the two treatment groups. There was also no difference between the rotigotine and ropinirole groups in UPDRS ADL and motor scores or in responder rates.

Open-label extensions of both the CLEOPATRA-PD (n=395) and PREFER (n=258) studies were conducted to evaluate rotigotine over several years of follow-up in patients with advanced PD.¹⁵ Patients were re-titrated do optimal dose (up to 16mg/24h) for 7 weeks. Most patients had moderately severe PD. The majority of subjects reported at least one adverse event, however only 8 and 9% were recorded as severe. The long term efficacy data demonstrated continuing disease progression over the course of studies. UPDRS scores gradually increased over the maintenance periods and were 0.8 points higher than baseline in one study, and 4.1 points higher than baseline in the other. Responder rates also decreased over time to 36% and 25% respectively.

Safety:

Most common adverse events were typical of a dopamine agonist and include nausea, somnolence, dizziness, and headache. Application site reactions also occurred more frequently than placebo in clinical trials. Long term trials have demonstrated rotigotine is generally well tolerated for up to 6 years with the most common adverse reactions of somnolence (54%), falls (33%), peripheral edema (37%), application site reactions (32%), nausea (31%), and dizziness (27%). Case reports of patients experiencing sudden onset of sleep have been reported. In one study of 242 patients, one subject fell asleep while driving a motor vehicle and another reported a brief loss of consciousness while driving. A post-hoc analysis of patients taking low dose rotigotine demonstrated that 4 patients experienced a sleep attack or sudden onset of sleep.

Evidence Table

Ref./Study	Drug	Patient Population	N	Outcomes/	ARR/	Safety Results	ARR/	Quality Rating; Internal Validity Risk of Bias
Design	Regimens/			Efficacy Results	NNT	(CI, p-values)	NNH	External Validity Concerns
	Duration			(CI, p-values)				
Giladi et al ¹⁰	Rot: Rotigotine	Mean age: 61	N=215	Proportion of patients who		Withdrawals due to AEs:		Quality Rating: Fair
		Male: 58%		responded to treatment:		Rot: 37(17.2%)		
DB, PC, RCT	Rop: Ropinirole	Caucasian: 97%	N=228			Rop: 29 (12.8%)		Internal Validity Review of Bias:
		Mean UPDRS score:		Rot: 110 (52%)		Pla: 6 (5.1%)		Selection: Randomization occurred via
	Pla: Placebo	-ADL: 9.0	N=118	Rop: 154 (68%)				interactive voice response system. Patients
		-Motor: 23.2		Pla: 35 (30%)		P=0.002 for Rot vs. Pla	ARI=	similar at baseline.
		Mean rotigotine dose	Minimum			RR 3.4, 95% CI 1.4-8.8	12.1%	Performance: Double-blinded with placebo
		7.2mg/24h	duration of 33	P<0.0001 for Rot vs. Pla	ARR 22%	4	NNH	patches and capsules. Unclear if investigator
			weeks for	RR 1.7, 95% CI 1.2-2.4	NNT 5		9	were blinded during dose titration phase.
		Inclusion Criteria: Adults	rotigotine and					<u>Detection:</u> Unclear if outcome assessors were
		30 years or older with	24 weeks for	P<0.0001 for Rop vs. Pla				blinded.
		mild to moderate PD, a	ropinirole	RR 2.3, 95% CI 1.7-3.1	NNT 3			Attrition: Overall high attrition at 29% PLA,
		score of at least 10 on the						29% ROT, 23% ROP. Mostly due to lack of
		UPDRS	titration period		N/A			efficacy and adverse events.
				RR 0.8, 95% CI 0.65-0.90				
		Exclusion Criteria:						External Validity Review of Bias:
		Psychiatric disease, h/o						Patient Characteristics: Patients with very
		skin sensitivity, levodopa						mild disease included in study; only 26% of
		use for longer than 6						ropinirole patients received the maximum
		months, hepatic, renal or						allowed dose of 24 mg/day.
		cardiac dysfunction,						Setting: There was an imbalanced titration
		elevated QTc interval						and maintenance periods between treatment
								groups, making them hard to compare
								Outcomes: A responder was defined as a
								patient with a 20% or greater decrease in the
								UPDRS parts II + III (ADL + motor) from
								baseline.

Watts et al. ¹¹	Rot: Rotigotine	Mean age: 63	N=181	Change in UPDRS II+II		Withdrawals due to AEs:		Quality Rating: Fair
Phase III, MC,		Males 64%		from baseline:		Rot: 25 (14%)		
R, DB, PC	Pla: Placebo	Mean rotigotone dosage:	N=96	Rot: -3.98		Pla: 6 (6%)	NS	Internal Validity Review of Bias:
		5.7mg/24 h		Pla: +1.31	N/A			Selection: Unclear method of randomization.
	Rotigotone was					P=0.06 for Rot vs. Pla		Patients similar at baseline.
	started at 2mg/24	Inclusion: Age>30,	24 weeks	Treatment effect -5.3		RR 2.2, 95% CI 0.9-5.9		Performance: Double-blinded with placebo
	hours and titrated	UPDRS motor score of at		P<0.0001 for Rot vs. Pla				patches and capsules. Unclear if investigator
	to max tolerable	least 10, MMSE score						were blinded during dose titration phase.
	dose up to 6 mg/24	>25						<u>Detection:</u> Unclear if outcome assessors were
	hours			% of responders				blinded.
		Exclusion: Prior or		Rot: 87 (48%)				Attrition: Overall attrition at 16% PLA, 22%
		current dopamine agonist		Pla: 18 (19%)				ROT. High rate due to AE in Rot group.
		therapy, epilepsy, seizure			ARR 29%			
		history, stroke, TIA, or		P<0.0001 for Rot vs. Pla	NNT 4			External Validity Review of Bias:
		clinically relevant renal,		RR 2.5, 95% CI 1.6-4.2				Recruitment: Unknown
		hepatic, or cardiac						Patient Characteristics: Patients had very earl
		dysfunctions						PD
		•						Setting: 50 clinical study sites in the US and
								Canada
								Outcomes: Primary endpoint was
								combination of motor and ADL scores,
								however these patients may be too early in
								PD disease course to see such drastic motor
								fluctuations
								Study funded by Schwarz Pharma

CLEOPATRA	Rot: Rotigotine	Inclusion Criteria: 30	N= 204	Absolute change in total		Withdrawals due to AE:		Quality Rating: Fair
PD^{14}		years or older, stable		hours "off" from baseline				
(Poewe, et al).	Pram: Pramipexol	treatment with levodopa,	N=201			Rot: 11 (5%)		Internal Validity Review of Bias:
		motor fluctuations of the		Rot: -2.5	N/A	Pram: 14 (6%)	NS	Selection: Randomization occurred via
MC, R, DB, DI	Pla: Placebo	wearing-off type with an	N=101	Pram: -2.8		Pla: 6 (6%)		interactive voice response system. Slightly
		average of at least 2.5 hr		Pla: -0.9				lower number of men in pramipexole group
	Rotigotine up to	per day in the off stage				NS		than other groups.
	16mg/24 hr			P<0.0001 Rot vs. Pla				Performance: Double-blinded with placebo
		Exclusion criteria:		P<0.0001 Pram vs. Pla				patches and capsules.
	Pramipexole up to	Previous surgery for PD,		P=0.003 Rot vs. Pram		One report of sleep attack		<u>Detection:</u> Unclear if outcome assessors were
	3.5mg/day	MMSE <25, hallucination				in pramipexole group		blinded.
		or psychosis, h/o MI,		Responder rate (>30%				Attrition: Overall attrition at 27% PLA, 12%
	Titration up to 7	prolonged QTc interval		decrease in off time):				ROT, 17.5% PRAM. Mostly due to lack of
	weeks			Rot: 122 (59.7%)				efficacy and adverse events.
	Maintenance: 16			Pram: 135 (67%)				
	weeks			Pla: 35 (35%)				External Validity Review of Bias:
								Recruitment: Unclear
				P<0.0001 Rot vs. Pla	ARR			Patient Characteristics: Patients with more
				RR 1.7, 95% CI 1.3-2.4	24.7%/			advanced disease; on stable levodopa; almost
					NNT 4			all patients were white
				P<0.0001 Pram vs. Pla	ARR 32%			Setting: 77 centers in Europe, South Africa,
				RR 1.9, 95% CI 1.5-2.6	NNT 3			Australia, and New Zealand
								Outcomes: Primary outcome of on/off time
				P=0.125 Rot vs. Pram	NS			measured by patient diaries which is very
				RR 0.9, 95% CI 0.7-1.0				subjective
								Members of Schwarz Pharma involved in
								steering committee for the trial design and
								submitted the manuscript for publication

PREFER ¹³	Rot 8: Rotigotine	Mean Age: 66	N=120	Absolute change in total		D/C due to AE		Quality Rating: Fair
(LeWitt, et al)	8mg/24 hr	Male 78%		hours "off" from baseline		Rot8: 18 (15.3%)	NS	
		PD duration – 7.7 yr				Rot12: 17 (15.3%)		Internal Validity Review of Bias:
RCT, DB, PG	Rot12: Rotigotine	Daily "off" – 6.5 hr	N=111	Rot 8: -2.7		Pla: 11 (9.2%)%		Selection: Appropriate randomization method
	12mg/24 hr	Inclusion Criteria: at least		Rot 12: -2.1	N/A			and allocation concealment. Similar groups
		30 years old, PD for at		Pla: -0.9				at baseline
	Pla: Placebo	least 3 years, on at least	N=120					Performance: Subjects blinded
		200mg/day of levodopa,		P<0.0001 Rot 8 vs. Pla				<u>Detection:</u> Unclear if outcome assessors
		inadequate relief of	24 week	P<0.0001 Rot 12 vs. Pla				blinded
		parkinsonism, at least 2.5	maintenance					Attrition: Overall attrition of 27.5% ROT
		hours of "off time"	phase	Responder rate (>30%				8mg, 27% ROT 12mg, 23% Pla. Mostly due
				decrease in off time):				to adverse events in ROT groups and
				Rot8: 56.6%				inefficiency in placebo group.
				Rot12: 55.1%				
				Pla: 34.5%				External Validity Review of Bias:
								Recruitment: Unclear
				P<0.0001 for both Rot				Patient Characteristics: Limited baseline
				doses compared to placebo				patient characteristics provided; more
								advanced disease on stable levodopa. Mean
								dose in 12mg group only 9.5mg.
								Setting: Clinical sites in the US and Canada
								Outcomes: Primary outcome of on/off time
								measured by patient diaries which is very
								subjective

¹Study design abbreviations: DB = double-blind, RCT = randomized trial, PC = placebo-controlled, PG = parallel -group, XO = crossover.

²Results abbreviations: RRR = relative risk reduction, RR =relative risk, OR= Odds Ratio, HR = Hazard Ratio, ARR = absolute risk reduction, NNT = number needed to treat, NNH = number needed to harm, CI = confidence interval

³NNT/NNH are reported only for statistically significant results

⁴Quality Rating: (Good-likely valid, Fair-likely valid/possibly valid, Poor- fatal flaw-not valid)

References:

- 1. Waters C. The development of the rotigotine transdermal patch: a historical perspective. *Neurol Clin*. 2013;31(3 Suppl):S37–50. doi:10.1016/j.ncl.2013.04.012.
- 2. Zhou C-Q, Li S-S, Chen Z-M, Li F-Q, Lei P, Peng G-G. Rotigotine transdermal patch in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(7):e69738. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0069738.
- 3. Goetz CG, Tilley BC, Shaftman SR, et al. Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): Scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. *Movement Disorders*. 2008;23(15):2129–2170. doi:10.1002/mds.22340.
- 4. Shulman LM, Gruber-Baldini AL, Anderson KE, Fishman PS, Reich SG, Weiner WJ. The clinically important difference on the unified Parkinson's disease rating scale. *Arch Neurol.* 2010;67(1):64–70. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2009.295.
- 5. Trenkwalder C, Kies B, Dioszeghy P, et al. Rotigotine transdermal system for the management of motor function and sleep disturbances in Parkinson's disease: Results from a 1-year, open-label extension of the RECOVER study. *Basal Ganglia*. 2012;2(2):79–85. doi:10.1016/j.baga.2012.05.009.
- 6. Oertel W, LeWitt P, Giladi N, Ghys L, Grieger F, Boroojerdi B. Treatment of patients with early and advanced Parkinson's disease with rotigotine transdermal system: age-relationship to safety and tolerability. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2013;19(1):37–42. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2012.06.009.
- 7. Ray Chaudhuri K, Martinez-Martin P, Antonini A, et al. Rotigotine and specific non-motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease: post hoc analysis of RECOVER. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2013;19(7):660–665. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.02.018.
- 8. Kulisevsky J, Pagonabarraga J. Tolerability and safety of ropinirole versus other dopamine agonists and levodopa in the treatment of Parkinson's disease: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Drug Saf.* 2010;33(2):147–161. doi:10.2165/11319860-000000000-00000.
- 9. Ferreira JJ, Katzenschlager R, Bloem BR, et al. Summary of the recommendations of the EFNS/MDS-ES review on therapeutic management of Parkinson's disease. *Eur J Neurol.* 2013;20(1):5–15. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2012.03866.x.
- 10. Giladi N, Boroojerdi B, Korczyn AD, et al. Rotigotine transdermal patch in early Parkinson's disease: a randomized, double-blind, controlled study versus placebo and ropinirole. *Mov Disord*. 2007;22(16):2398–2404. doi:10.1002/mds.21741.
- 11. Watts RL, Jankovic J, Waters C, Rajput A, Boroojerdi B, Rao J. Randomized, blind, controlled trial of transdermal rotigotine in early Parkinson disease. *Neurology*. 2007;68(4):272–276. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000252355.79284.22.
- 12. Elmer LW, Surmann E, Boroojerdi B, Jankovic J. Long-term safety and tolerability of rotigotine transdermal system in patients with early-stage idiopathic Parkinson's disease: a prospective, open-label extension study. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2012;18(5):488–493. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2012.01.008.
- 13. LeWitt PA, Lyons KE, Pahwa R, SP 650 Study Group. Advanced Parkinson disease treated with rotigotine transdermal system: PREFER Study. *Neurology*. 2007;68(16):1262–1267. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000259516.61938.bb.
- 14. Poewe W, Rascol O, Barone P, et al. Extended-release pramipexole in early Parkinson disease: a 33-week randomized controlled trial. *Neurology*. 2011;77(8):759–766. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31822affb0.

15. advanc 0925-5	LeWitt PA, Boroojerdi B, Surmann E, Poewe W, SP716 Study Group, SP715 Study Group. Rotigotine transdermal system for long-term treatment of patients with ed Parkinson's disease: results of two open-label extension studies, CLEOPATRA-PD and PREFER. <i>J Neural Transm</i> . 2013;120(7):1069–1081. doi:10.1007/s00702-012-
16.	Neupro. (rotigotine) prescribing information. Smyrna, GA; UCB, Inc; March 2012.
Autho	or: Megan Herink, Pharm.D.

Anti-Parkinsons Agents

Goal(s):

- > Cover preferred products when feasible for covered diagnosis. Preferred products are selected on evidence based reviews.
- > OPH does not cover treatment for restless leg syndrome (Coverage line 624)

Length of Authorization: 12 months

Requires PA:

Non-preferred drugs

Approval Criteria						
1. What is the diagnosis?	Record ICD-9 code					
2. Is the diagnosis Parkinson's disease or another chronic neurological condition?	Yes: Go to #5.	No: Go to #3				
3. Is the diagnosis Restless Leg Syndrome (ICD9-333.94)?	Yes: Pass to RPH; Deny, (Not covered by OHP)	No : Go to #4				
4. RPH only All other indications need to be evaluated as to whether they are above the line or below the line	Above: Go to #5	Below: Deny, (Not covered by the OHP)				
 5. Will the prescriber consider a change to a preferred product? Message: Preferred products do not require PA Preferred products are evidence-based reviewed for comparative effectiveness and safety by the Pharmacy and Therapeutics (P&T) Committee. 	Yes: Inform provider of covered alternatives in class.	No: Approve for the shorter of 1 year or length of prescription				

DUR/P&T Board Action: 9/06/10 (DO)

Revision(s): Initiated: 1/1/11

Appendix 2: Specific Drug Information

PHARMACOKINETICS¹⁶

Parameter	Result
Oral Bioavailability	Transdermal Formulation
Protein Binding	92%
Elimination	Renally as inactive conjugates
Half-Life	5-7 hours
	Multiple CYP isoenzymes,
	sulfotransferases, and two UDP-
	glucoronosyltransferases catalyze the
Metabolism	metabolism of rotigotine

DOSE & AVAILABILITY¹⁶

						Pediatric	Elderly	
STRENGTH	ROUTE	FREQUENCY	DOSAGE:	RENAL ADJ	HEPATIC ADJ	Dose	Dose	OTHER DOSING CONSIDERATIONS
1mg/24h	Transdermal	Q24H	Initially,	No	No guidance	No	No differences	Should be applied to clean, dry,
2mg/24h			2mg/24h for	adjustment	available for	information	in safety,	intact healthy skin on the front of
3mg/24h			early-stage PD	needed	patients with	available	efficacy or	the abdomen, thigh, hip, flank,
4mg/24h			or 4mg/24hr for		severe hepatic		response have	shoulder, or upper arm; the
6mg/24h			advanced PD.		impairment.		been observed	application site should be moved
8mg/24h			The dose may				among patients	on a daily basis.
			be increase as				of varying age.	
			needed by				Skin changes	For discontinuation, the daily dose
			2mg/24 hours at				with advanced	should be reduced by 2mg/24
			weekly				age may lead to	hours with a dose reduction
			intervals, up to				increased rug	preferably every other day, until
			6 mg/24 hours				exposure.	complete withdrawal.
			for early-stage					
			PD and up to 8					
			mg/24h for					
			advanced-stage					
			disease.					

DRUG SAFETY¹⁶

Contraindications: Rotigotine is contraindicated in patients who are hypersensitive to rotigotine or any component of the transdermal delivery system.

Warnings and Precautions:

- Sulfite Sensitivity: Rotigotine contains sodium metabisulfite and patient with sulfite sensitivity may experience allergic reactions.
- Falling asleep during activities of daily living/somnolence: Instances of patients falling asleep while engaged in ADL, including operating a motor vehicle, have been reported.
- Hallucinations/Psychotic-like Behavior
- Symptomatic Hypotension
- Syncope
- Impulse Control
- Elevation of blood pressure/heart rate
- Weight gain/fluid retention
- Dyskinesia
- Application Site Reactions: Rotigotine should be discontinued if a generalized skin reaction is observed.

Look-alike/Sound-alike Potential:

Rotigotine may be confused with: rasagiline, rivastigmine, ropinirole

Neupro may be confused with: Neupogen, Neurontin